IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

| UNITED STATES | * |
|--|---|
| v. | * * CRIM. NO. 21- 324-01(FLW) |
| JOSHUA WYNVEEN | * |
| | * **** |
| | OF VIDEO CONFERENCING/TELECONFERENCING |
| FOR FELC | ONY PLEAS AND/OR SENTENCINGS |
| In accordance with Standin | ng Order 2020-06, this Court finds: |
| That the Defendant (or the | ne Juvenile) has consented to the use of video |
| teleconferencing/teleconferencing | to conduct the proceeding(s) held today, after consultation |
| with counsel; and | |
| That the proceeding(s) to | be held today cannot be further delayed without serious harm |
| to the interests of justice, for the f | following specific reasons: |
| See Attachment | |
| | |
| Accordingly, the proceeding(s) he | eld on this date may be conducted by: |
| Video Teleconferencing | |
| Teleconferencing, becau | use video teleconferencing is not reasonably available for the |
| following reason: | • |
| | |
| The Defendant (| or the Juvenile) is detained at a facility lacking video |
| teleconferencing capability | y. |
| Other: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Date: | |
| | Honorable Freda L. Wolfson, Chief Judge United States District Judge |

The Court finds that the guilty plea hearing to be held today cannot be further delayed without serious harm to the interests of justice, for the following reasons:

- 1. To ensure that the Court is not overwhelmed by cases and proceedings at the conclusion of this period of emergency. Currently, District Judges in New Jersey handle a substantially larger docket of cases than Judges in other Districts in the United States. New criminal cases continue to be assigned by the Court during the emergency. If the Court cannot resolve matters by guilty plea and sentencing, the resulting backlog will overwhelm the Court's ability to effectively function. The concern of such congestion and the particular harm that likely will be caused by delays in the processing of cases and matters in the future is particularly acute in this emergency, at least given that: (1) it is unknown when this emergency will subside and when the Court will be able to function at normal capacity (including, among other things, the empanelment of trial juries) and (2) this District's pre-existing shortage of District Court Judges which already has challenged the Court's ability to process and resolve cases. This District has six District Judge vacancies: two have been pending for more than five years; one has been pending almost three years; two have been pending for more than a year; and one has been pending almost a year. The Federal Judicial Conference has deemed the District's six vacancies judicial emergencies.
- 2. To permit the defendant to obtain a speedy resolution of his case through an admission of guilt and timely sentencing to afford appropriate punishment and rehabilitation. The defendant has asked for this case to be resolved today by guilty plea and for a subsequent timely sentencing. The Court is expected to have a substantial backlog of cases on its docket at the conclusion of this period of emergency. At this time, the Court cannot accurately predict where the defendant's case will be prioritized within that backlogged docket. As a result, the defendant's interest in a speedy resolution of his case will be seriously harmed if the proceeding does not occur today.
- 3. To permit the Government to obtain a resolution of the case so that the Government, already operating in a restricted capacity due to the emergency, may appropriately focus its resources on other, emerging criminal matters. The Government has asked for this case to be resolved today by guilty plea and for a subsequent timely sentencing.
- 4. To permit resolution of the case prior to the end of the time afforded the Government to prosecute such case under the Speedy Trial Act,

and therefore without presentment of this matter to the grand jury seeking the return of an indictment. The parties represent that the Speedy Trial Act is suspended through April 30, 2021. The time period under the Speedy Trial Act will begin running again thereafter unless there is a further continuance or other order excluding time entered by the Court. The parties represent that they jointly wish to resolve this matter pre-indictment and without presentment of this case to the grand jury seeking the return of an indictment.